


## U. S. PUBLIC LAND SURVEY MONUMENT RECORD

SECTION 12 T 15 N, R 6 W, TOWN OF Greenfield

LA CROSSE COUNTY



LOCATION OF LANDMARK

Crew: William C. Jung                      Jung  
Peter F. Follansbee                      Follansbee

Date: January 29, 1991                      10/11/01

## WISCONSIN COORDINATE SYSTEM

North

East

Theta Angle

Horiz. Order

Vert. Order

## TYPE OF LANDMARK

~~1"x30" iron pipe~~      Lunde marker

## BEARINGS ARE REFERENCED TO

True

Crew: William C. Jung  
Peter F. Follansbee  
Date: June 21, 1991

Replaced pipe with Lunde marker.

Describe any record evidence, monument evidence, testimonial evidence or any other material evidence you considered and whether the monument was found or restored through acceptance of an obliterated evidence location.

In over 22 years of surveying, I have never had a section as bad as Section 12. I have studied all of the field evidence and my computations at great length. After long and careful consideration, I have decided to accept the occupied corner as the best available evidence of the original government corner position. Not to do so would disrupt accepted conditions on the "ridge" and throw the area into turmoil. To me this is unacceptable. In my opinion the original survey was in error and the occupied corner is probably the best evidence of the old corner. The South line of Section 12 is just over 2.5 chains short of the original distance, and the South line of the SW1/4 is (continued below)

Describe or show any material discrepancy between the location of the restored corner and that of the corner previously restored or reestablished.

Berg calls for a nail at this corner. I could not find it. I don't think that it would fit my corner.

continued:

long, being over a chain longer than the original call. This would indicate an error in the original tally, certainly something that I have encountered before. Also the original call was 80 chains East-West with line hit<sup>ting</sup> the post at the SE corner of Section 12! Giving the terrain and normal field procedure, this is hard to believe. There are several 80 chain East-West distances in this township.\* Usually the distance was long or short of this and the closing post was missed. Either the original survey crew was very good or else\*was "fudged". Giving all the problems that I have found in this township, I believe it to be the latter.

(\*their survey)

Aerial photos, including one from 1938, show that the occupation in Sections 12 and 13 fits the occupied corner. It has been this way for many years. Mr. Hess, whose family has owned land in the NE1/4 of Section 13 since 1868, says that the lands have always (Continued on the back)

\* as per original notes and plat  
awef

